

28 March 2019

Pere Riini  
Quarry Manager  
Hanson Construction Materials Pty Ltd  
Level 5, 75 George Street  
Parramatta NSW 2150

**Re: Quarter 1 - 2019: East Guyong Quarry noise and blast monitoring**

---

Dear Pere,

## 1 Introduction

EMM Consulting Pty Ltd (EMM) has been commissioned by Hanson Construction Materials Pty Ltd (NSW) (Hanson) to complete quarterly noise monitoring for the East Guyong Quarry, as required by the site's approved Noise Management Plan. The quarry is located approximately 22 km southeast of Orange, NSW. Operator-attended noise monitoring was undertaken on 13 March 2019.

The following material was referenced as part of this assessment:

- Environment Protection Authority (EPA), *Industrial Noise Policy (INP) 2000*;
- Environment Protection Authority (EPA), *Industrial Noise Policy - Application notes 2017*;
- Environment Protection Authority (EPA), *Noise Policy for Industry (NPfI) 2017*;
- R. W. Corkery & Co Pty Limited (RWC), *Noise Management Plan for the East Guyong Quarry (NMP) 2013*;
- Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DP&I), *East Guyong Quarry Project Modification (06\_0193 MOD 1) approval (PA) 2012*; and
- Australian and New Zealand Environment Council (ANZEC) 1990, *Technical basis for guidelines to minimise annoyance due to blasting overpressure and ground vibration*.

Analysis of data from three blast events that occurred on 19 November 2018, 21 January 2019 and 25 March 2019 has also been included in this report.

Several technical terms are discussed in this report and are explained in Appendix A.

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Site operations

At the time of the attended noise monitoring on 13 March 2019, the quarry's activities comprised of the following:

- extraction of basalt using standard drill, load and haul techniques;
- processing of extracted basalt and stockpiling of material; and
- transportation of quarry products.

The quarry's approved hours of operation are:

- Monday to Friday (non-daylight savings) from 6 am to 6 pm;
- Monday to Friday (daylight savings) from 6 am to 8 pm; and
- Saturdays from 7 am to 1 pm.

Material crushing and screening currently occurs on site from Monday to Thursday. This restriction to approved hours is an operational decision by the quarry and aids in the planning for maintenance and repairs.

### 2.2 Noise monitoring

Operator-attended 15-minute noise measurements were conducted at locations N1, N2 and an additional location to the south of the site, as shown in Figure 1, when the quarry was in full operation. The operator quantified the contribution of each significant quarry noise source where possible. Noise monitoring was conducted in general accordance with the INP and Australian Standard AS 1055.1-2018 *Acoustics - Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise - General Procedures*.


A Svantek 979 Type 1 sound analyser (s/n 21095) was used for the noise monitoring. The sound analyser was calibrated before and after the completion of the surveys using a Rion NC-74 calibrator (s/n 34372752). The instruments were within a current NATA calibration period at the time of the noise monitoring and relevant certificates are provided in Appendix B.

### 2.3 Assessment locations


The noise monitoring included five 15-minute operator-attended noise measurements during the daytime period on 13 March 2019 to quantify noise emissions from the quarry at locations N1, N2 and an additional location to the west of the site. Noise monitoring was not conducted prior to 7 am as the quarry was not in operation.

Locations N1 and N2 are near the south-east and north-east boundaries of the site, respectively. Location N1 is approximately 500 m from "Wheatfields", the closest residence situated south-east of the quarry. Location N2 is approximately 150 m from "Hartley Cottage", the closest residence situated north-east of the quarry. These monitoring locations were selected to not inconvenience surrounding residents and are consistent with the approved Noise Management Plan for the East Guyong Quarry (RWC, 2013). Monitoring at these locations, rather than at the residences, also provides a better opportunity to quantify site related noise since they are closer to the operations.

Noise monitoring was completed at an additional location (AL) west of the quarry (refer to Figure 1). This location represents the potentially worst affected residence/land to the west (ie the “Patanga” land) and was selected due to the easterly (ie source to receiver) winds present at the time of the measurements.



Source: EMM (2019); DFSI (2017); GA (2011)



- KEY**
- Blast monitoring location
  - Site boundary
  - Main road
  - Residences
  - Extraction area boundary
  - Local road
  - Attended noise monitoring location
  - Transport route
  - ⋯ Vehicular track
  - Watercourse/drainage line
  - ▼ Weather station

Site location and monitoring plan

East Guyong Quarry  
Noise and blast monitoring  
Figure 1



\\EMMSVR1\emm\pos\2019\190114 - East Guyong Quarry Noise Monitoring 2019\8 GIS\02\_Maps\G001\_MonitoringPlan\_20190318\_01.mxd 20/03/2019

## 3 Criteria

### 3.1 Operational noise

Condition 3(5) of PA 06\_0193 states that the noise assessment criteria are  $L_{Aeq,15 \text{ minute}}$  35 dB at any residence for all assessment periods. The exception is the "Fairview" residence which has a daytime criterion of  $L_{Aeq,15 \text{ minute}}$  36 dB. In accordance with the PA 06\_0193, "Noise generated by the project is to be measured in accordance with the relevant requirements, and exemptions (including certain meteorological conditions), of the NSW Industrial Noise Policy."

As per Condition 3(5) of PA\_0193, to demonstrate compliance at residential locations, the noise monitoring results are to be assessed against the following (intermediate) noise criteria for monitoring locations N1 and N2:

- N1 -  $L_{Aeq,15 \text{ minute}}$  43 dB; and
- N2 -  $L_{Aeq,15 \text{ minute}}$  50 dB.

It is stated in the NMP that by satisfying criteria at these intermediate locations, quarry noise at neighbouring residences would also satisfy residential criteria. This assumes the presence of soil and product stockpiles, bunding and intervening topography between the site and surrounding residences, which provide some degree of attenuation of site noise.

Further to the above, section 11.1.3 of the INP identifies that a development is deemed to be in non-compliance if the monitored noise levels from the development are more than 2 dB above the statutory limit.

### 3.2 Low frequency noise criteria

Section 11.2.3 of the NMP states that modification factors in Section 4 of the INP (EPA 2000) should be applied to the measured noise levels where applicable. The INP application notes state that Section 4 of the INP has been withdrawn and the modifying factor adjustments outlined in Fact Sheet C of the NPfl are to be used when assessing the characteristics of a noise source. Fact sheet C of the NPfl (EPA 2017) states that modification factor corrections shall be applied to the measured noise levels where relevant.

Fact sheet C of the NPfl (EPA 2017) provides guidelines for applying modifying factor corrections to account for annoying noise characteristics, such as tonal and low frequency noise emissions. The NPfl specifies that for low frequency noise, a difference of 15 dB or more between site 'C-weighted' and site 'A-weighted' noise emission levels identifies the potential for an unbalanced spectrum and potential increased annoyance.

Where a difference of 15 dB or more between site 'C-weighted' and site 'A-weighted' noise emission levels is identified, the one-third octave noise levels recorded should be compared to the values in Table C2 of the NPfl (EPA 2017), which has been reproduced in Table 1 below.

**Table 1** One-third octave low-frequency noise thresholds

	One-third octave $L_{Zeq,15 \text{ minute}}$ threshold level												
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
dB (Z)	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44

The modifying factor correction to be applied where the site 'C-weighted' and site 'A-weighted' noise emission level is 15 dB or more and:



- where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table 1 are exceeded by up to and including 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 2 dB positive adjustment to measured/predicted A-weighted levels applies for the evening/night period; or
- where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table 1 are exceeded by more than 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 5 dB positive adjustment to measured/predicted A-weighted levels applies for the evening/night period and a 2 dB positive adjustment applies for the daytime period.

Hence, where possible throughout each survey the operator has estimated the difference between site 'C-weighted' and site 'A-weighted' noise emission levels by matching audible sounds with the response of the analyser ( $L_{Ceq}-L_{Aeq}$ ). Where this was deemed to be 15 dB or greater, the measured one-third octave frequencies have been compared to the values in Table 1 to identify the relevant modifying factor correction (if applicable). This method has been applied to this assessment as presented in Section 4.

It is of note that the NPfl (EPA 2017) states that low-frequency noise corrections only apply under the standard and/or noise-enhancing (ie applicable) meteorological conditions.

### 3.3 Blast monitoring

Blast overpressure and vibration monitoring is managed by Hanson for all blast events. Blast overpressure and ground vibration are monitored at three locations within or at the site's boundary as well as two locations outside of the site's boundary (one south of the Mitchell Highway near the Fairview property and one north of the site near the Cadira property). Monitoring locations are situated closer to blasting locations than the residential structures (refer to Figure 2.1), and therefore overpressure and vibration levels would likely be lower at the actual residential dwellings than those measured.

Blast emissions criteria for the quarry apply at any residence on privately-owned land surrounding the site and are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2** Blast overpressure and vibration criteria

Location	Airblast overpressure criteria (dB (Linear Peak))	Ground vibration criteria (mm/s (Peak velocity))	Allowable exceedance
Any privately-owned residence surrounding the site.	115	5	5% of the total number of blasts in a 12-month period
	120	10	0%

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Noise monitoring results

Noise monitoring results for locations N1 and N2 are presented in Table 3. Data recorded by the site's weather station (shown in Figure 2.1) was used to identify weather conditions during the monitoring period and to determine the applicability of noise limits. Wind speed and direction observations are presented in Table 3.

Wind speed averages were above 3 m/s (at 10 m above ground) during three of the four measurements at N1 and N2; hence noise limits were not applicable during these measurements. It is noted that during the final measurement, taken at the additional location, winds were in the direction of source to receiver (ie easterly winds), and therefore would have enhanced site noise levels at this location.

Low frequency noise modifying factors, in accordance with fact sheet C2 of the NPfI (EPA 2017), were not applied to any measured site contribution as measured noise levels did not exceed the relevant LFN thresholds.

All quarry contributions measured at locations N1 and N2 satisfied the relevant noise criteria, had they applied, as per the NMP. It is therefore expected that relevant criteria for surrounding residential receivers would also be satisfied.

An additional monitoring location was also chosen to confirm that satisfying relevant criteria at monitoring locations N1 and N2 would satisfy relevant criteria at other surrounding residential locations. This location was west of the quarry and was selected due to the easterly (ie source to receiver) wind present at the time of the survey and little intervening topography between the quarry activity, the monitoring location and the Patanga land. The quarry was inaudible during the entire operator-attended noise survey at the additional location and is therefore predicted to satisfy the relevant criterion of  $L_{Aeq,15\text{ minute}} 35\text{ dB}$ .

Based on the preceding information, noise levels from the quarry were expected to satisfy the relevant residential criteria at all assessment locations identified in Condition 3(5) of PA\_0193.

**Table 3**      **Attended noise monitoring summary – 13 March 2019**

Location	Start time	Attended noise monitoring results dB					Criteria dB	Meteorological conditions <sup>1</sup>		Criteria Applies? (Y/N)	Exceedance	Comments
		Total measured			Site contribution			Wind speed (m/s)	Wind direction <sup>2</sup>			
		L <sub>90</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>Amax</sub>	LFN mod. factor	L <sub>Aeq</sub>						
N1	08:15	36	42	60	Nil	<38	43	2.6	71	Y	Nil	Site consistently audible including crushing plant and engine revs. Other sources include consistent traffic noise from the Mitchell Highway, frequent bird noise, occasional aircraft noise, livestock noise and distant dogs barking.
N1	08:30	35	40	59	Nil	<34	43	3.1	47	N	N/A	Site occasionally audible including crushing plant and engine revs. Other sources include consistent bird noise, traffic noise from the Mitchell Highway and occasional aircraft noise.
N2	09:02	32	43	66	Nil	<36	50	4.4	70	N	N/A	Site occasionally audible including crushing plant. Other sources include frequent insects, bird noise, traffic noise from the Mitchell Highway, occasional aircraft noise and wind in trees.
N2	09:18	33	45	66	Nil	IA	50	5.6	64	N	N/A	Site inaudible. Other sources include consistent insects, frequent bird noise, livestock noise, traffic noise from the Mitchell Highway, occasional wind in trees and resident noise.
Additional location (AL)	09:47	50	70	89	Nil	IA	N/A	5.0	63	N/A	N/A	Site inaudible. Other sources include frequent traffic passbys on the Mitchell Highway, occasional bird noise, wind in trees, aircraft noise and livestock noise.

Notes: 1. Meteorological data was obtained from the site weather station at a height of 10 m above ground.  
2. Wind direction reported in degrees from north (0°)  
3. N/A = Not Applicable



## 4.2 Blast overpressure and ground vibration

Three blast events occurred at the quarry since the last quarterly noise monitoring in December 2018. The blast overpressure and vibration monitoring results were provided by Hanson and are presented in Table 4. The monitoring results show the relevant criteria were satisfied at all monitoring locations (refer to Figure 2.1).

**Table 4** Blast emissions monitoring results

Date	Monitoring location	Airblast overpressure level (dB(Linear Peak))		Ground vibration - Peak particle velocity (mm/s)	
		Measured	Criteria <sup>2</sup>	Measured	Criteria <sup>2</sup>
19/11/18	B1	103.5	115	2.35	5
	B2 <sup>1</sup>	-	115	-	5
	B3	95.9	115	0.55	5
	B4	100.0	115	1.82	5
	B5 <sup>1</sup>	-	115	-	5
21/1/2019	B1	97.5	115	0.55	5
	B2 <sup>1</sup>	-	115	-	5
	B3	-	115	-	5
	B4	104.2	115	1.37	5
	B5 <sup>1</sup>	-	115	-	5
25/3/2019	B1	106.0	115	0.76	5
	B2	107.5	115	0.76	5
	B3 <sup>1</sup>	-	115	-	5
	B4	101.0	115	1.65	5
	B5 <sup>1</sup>	-	115	-	5

Notes: 1. There was no trigger for this blasting event.  
2. Criteria applies at the nearest residential location and not at the monitoring location.

## 5 Conclusion

EMM has completed an assessment of noise and blasting emissions from East Guyong Quarry operations. Noise monitoring was undertaken at locations around the site on 13 March 2019 as required by, and in accordance with, the site's approved NMP.

The results demonstrated that the received site noise levels at all monitoring locations satisfied the relevant noise criteria, had they applied, as per the PA\_0193 and in accordance with the NMP for the East Guyong Quarry.

Therefore, it is concluded that noise levels from quarry operations satisfied the relevant criteria at all assessment locations identified in Condition 3(5) of PA\_0193.

The blast overpressure and ground vibration monitoring results satisfied the relevant criteria at all monitoring locations for the three blast events that have been assessed.

Yours sincerely

L. Adamson

**Lucas Adamson**

Acoustic Consultant

[ladamson@emmconsulting.com.au](mailto:ladamson@emmconsulting.com.au)

Review: KT (27/3/2019)

---

Appendix A

# Glossary of acoustic terms

---

A number of technical terms are required for the discussion of noise. These are explained in Table A.1.

**Table A.1** Glossary of acoustic terms


Term	Description
dB	Noise is measured in units called decibels (dB).
A-weighting	There are several scales for describing noise, the most common being the 'A-weighted' scale. This is an adjustment made to sound-level measurement to approximate the response of the human ear.
C-weighting	This is an adjustment made to sound-level measurements which takes account of low-frequency components of noise within the audibility range of humans.
L <sub>A90</sub>	Commonly referred to as the background noise level. The A-weighted noise level exceeded 90% of the time.
L <sub>Aeq</sub>	The A-weighted, energy average noise from a source. This is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level over a given period. The LAeq(15-min) descriptor refers to an LAeq noise level measured over a 15-minute period.
L <sub>Amax</sub>	The A-weighted maximum root mean squared sound pressure level received during a measuring interval.
Day period	Monday – Saturday: 7 am to 6 pm, on Sundays and Public Holidays: 8 am to 6 pm.
Evening period	Monday – Saturday: 6 pm to 10 pm, on Sundays and Public Holidays: 6 pm to 10 pm.
Night period	Monday – Saturday: 10 pm to 7 am, on Sundays and Public Holidays: 10 pm to 8 am.
L <sub>peak</sub>	The maximum instantaneous sound pressure during a measurement period or noise event.
PPV	The greatest instantaneous particle velocity during a given time interval.

It is useful to have an appreciation of decibels, the unit of noise measurement. Table A.2 gives an indication as to what an average person perceives about changes in noise levels:

**Table A.2** Perceived change in noise

Change in sound level (dB)	Perceived change in noise
1 to 2	typically indiscernible
3	just perceptible
5	noticeable difference
10	twice (or half) as loud
15	large change
20	four times (or quarter) as loud

Examples of common noise levels are provided in Figure A.1.



Source: Road Noise Policy (Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (DECCW) 2011).

**Figure A.1 Common noise levels**

---

Appendix B

# Calibration certificates

---



# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

CERTIFICATE NO.: **SLM 22926 & FILT 4696**

**Equipment Description:** Sound & Vibration Analyzer

**Manufacturer:** Svantek

**Model No:** Svan-979      **Serial No:** 21095

**Microphone Type:** 40AE      **Serial No:** 120711

**Preamplifier Type:** SV17      **Serial No:** 25110

**Filter Type:** 1/3 Octave      **Serial No:** 21095

**Comments:** All tests passed for class 1.  
(See over for details)

**Owner:** EMGA Mitchell McLennan  
Ground Floor, Suite 01, 20 Chandos St  
St Leonards NSW 2065

**Ambient Pressure:** 999 hPa  $\pm 1.5$  hPa

**Temperature:** 23 °C  $\pm 2^\circ$  C      **Relative Humidity:** 35%  $\pm 5\%$


**Date of Calibration:** 14/06/2018      **Issue Date:** 15/06/2018

**Acu-Vib Test Procedure:** AVP10 (SLM) & AVP06 (Filters)


**CHECKED BY:** *[Signature]*

**AUTHORISED SIGNATURE:** *[Signature]*  
*Jack Kieft*

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Calibration  
The results of the tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.



Accredited Lab. No. 9262  
Acoustic and Vibration  
Measurements



**HEAD OFFICE**  
Unit 14, 22 Hudson Ave. Castle Hill NSW 2154  
Tel: (02) 96808133      Fax: (02) 96808233  
Mobile: 0413 809806  
web site: www.acu-vib.com.au



# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

CERTIFICATE NO: 24147

**EQUIPMENT TESTED:** Sound Level Calibrator

**Manufacturer:** Rion  
**Type No:** NC-74                      **Serial No:** 34372752  
**Owner:** EMM Consulting  
20 Chandos Street  
St Leonards NSW 2065

**Tests Performed:** Measured output pressure level was found to be:

Parameter	Pre-Adj	Adj Y/N	Output: (db re 20 µPa)	Frequency: (Hz)	THD&N (%)
Level 1:	NA	N	94.10	1002.70	2.24
Level 2:	NA	N	NA	NA	NA
<b>Uncertainty:</b>			±0.11 dB	±0.05%	±0.20 %
Uncertainty (at 95% c.l.) k=2					

**CONDITION OF TEST:**

**Ambient Pressure:** 991 hPa ±1.5 hPa    **Relative Humidity:** 45% ±5%

**Temperature:** 23 °C ±2° C

**Date of Calibration:** 13/02/2019                      **Issue Date:** 13/02/2019


**Acu-Vib Test Procedure:** AVP02 (Calibrators)

**Test Method:** AS IEC 60942 - 2017

**CHECKED BY:** *[Signature]*    **AUTHORISED SIGNATURE:** .....  
*Jack Kielt*

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Calibration  
The results of the tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

The uncertainties quoted are calculated in accordance with the methods of the ISO Guide to the Uncertainty of Measurement and quoted at a coverage factor of 2 with a confidence interval of approximately 95%.



Accredited Lab. 9262  
Acoustic and Vibration  
Measurements



**HEAD OFFICE**  
Unit 14, 22 Hudson Ave. Castle Hill NSW 2154  
Tel: (02) 96808133 Fax: (02)96808233  
Mobile: 0413 809806  
Web site: www.acu-vib.com.au