

EAST GUYONG QUARRY COMMUNITY CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE (EGQCCC)

MEETING NO. 02

THURSDAY 30 AUGUST 2012

MINUTES

LOCATION

Geolyse Office
154 Peisley Street
Orange NSW 2800

ATTENDANCE (EGQCCC)

Mr George Blackwell (GB)	Chair
Mrs Heather Nicolls (HN)	Cabonne Shire Council
Mr Mark Holman (MH)	Community Member – “Dargo”
Mr Tony Gordon (TG)	Community Member – “Godolphin”
Mr Scott Whittaker (SW)	Hanson
Mr Martin Gear (MG)	Hanson

ATTENDANCE (OTHER)

Mr Steve Harris (SH)	Community Member – “Fairview”
----------------------	-------------------------------

INVITED (OTHER)

Miss Melissa Svinos (MS)	Hanson – Minute taker Meeting 02
--------------------------	----------------------------------

APOLOGIES

Nil

ABSENT

Mrs Heather McNair (HMR)	Community Member – “Quinton”
--------------------------	------------------------------

MEETING START TIME

5.00pm

ITEM NO	DISCUSSION POINT	ACTION/CLOSE OUT	DATE
1.0	Introduction		
1.1	GB opened the meeting. EGQCCC reconvened now that the court hearing has ended. SW has taken over the area manager’s role from John Lardis who was at the time of the first meeting. SW welcomed attendees as this was the first EGQCCC. EGQCCC members provided a brief outline of their background.	No action.	N/A
1.2	SH to be invited as a committee member pending HMR’s resignation.	MG to contact HMR. Director General to be informed.	Next meeting
2.0	Apologies		
2.1	Nil	No action.	N/A
3.0	Declaration of Pecuniary and Other Interests		
3.1	SH leasing quarry land. This land is used for stock purposes.	No action.	N/A
4.0	Confirmation of the Minutes of the Previous Meeting		
4.1	Correction – Heather’s family name is Nicholls and not McNicolls. HMS to be updated as HN.	Noted and updated. Previous minutes to	Next meeting

		be reissued.	
4.2	6.7 – HN believes that HMR requested the point in the last minutes.	Noted and updated. Previous minutes to be reissued.	Next meeting
5.0	Business Arising from the Previous Minutes		
5.1	6.1 – Item resolved as the drilling logs were referenced to the shelf drilling report. Questions were answered as part of the appeal process.	No action.	N/A
5.2	6.2 – Item discussed as part of the appeal process. Many versions of the management plan were reviewed and the approved Asbestos Management Plan (AMP) is attached to the final approval which is on the website.	No action.	N/A
5.3	6.5 – Item was actioned when the minutes of the Meeting No. 01 were issued. Committee confirmed the preference for the minutes to be directly emailed to them as well as the website.	Email committee members a copy of the minutes from each meeting.	Within 28 days of each meeting
6.0	Correspondence		
6.1	Nil received.	No action.	N/A
7.0	Company Reports and Overview of Activities		
7.1	Management plans will not be posted on the website until they are approved by the appropriate authorities.	No action.	N/A
7.2	<p>Mitchell Highway works have commenced as part of the project approval and are scheduled to be finished before Christmas. The speed limit during construction was originally 40km/hr, however, Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) has now changed this to 60km/hr. Request from MH to put up flashing lights to indicate current speed limit.</p> <p>MH concerned that the drop of speed limit and changes of speed limits will confuse local residents and will be a target for speeding fines. MG believes speed limits will move back up to 100km/hr after road works have been completed. Signs will be put out from 7am-5pm and will be covered up at night so the speed limit returns to normal after road works.</p>	MG to check with RMS about the possibility of flashing signage to indicate current speed limit while road works are undertaken.	<p>14/9/2012</p> <p><u>Actioned 6/9/2012</u></p> <p>RMS does not require flashing lights as part of the approved risk assessment and traffic management plan. This plan is in line with others in the local area.</p> <p>From Mon 10/9/2012, work on the highway itself will commence and traffic control officers will direct traffic to the work conditions.</p>
7.3	<p>Request from TG to know where monitors are located. SW presented the website to the committee and MG explained the AMP and the monitoring results.</p> <p>Committee members question monitoring methods. MG explains the monitoring device is a filter membrane monitor which has a pump. The filter membrane is collected by a NATA accredited tester who analyses the filter in the lab. The results are received by MG via SMS within 4 hours.</p> <p>Committee members concerned that the</p>	MG to invite Simon Butterfield to the next meeting as a guest so that committee members can ask questions about the monitoring methods.	Next meeting

	<p>monitors that are in the dustiest areas may be void for testing and these are the areas that are of the most concern. MG explained that these filters may become void as they are in the centre of the intrusive works eg, a filter that was placed on the drill rig. These intrusive works are surrounded by other sensors in a ring-like pattern. Therefore, if one sensor is void, the other sensors ensure that the quantitative limit has not been breached. Note - monitoring is conducted in line with the project approval and NATA standards.</p> <p>MH asked what happens once the filters are tested. Are they stored? Are all sheets and records are logged? Questions to be answered by NATA accredited person at the next meeting eg Simon Butterfield.</p>		
7.4	TG asked if it possible to have a site visit by members to review the monitoring and filtering methods? Potential to incorporate this visit into the next EGQCCC meeting.	MG to arrange a site visit for EGQCCC members.	Next meeting
7.5	<p>TG noted there is a new weather station on site. Results are recorded on a portal. Can the public have access to the weather results on site? SW and MG noted there may be IT limitations to this.</p> <p>TG asked about the possible addition of more wind monitors to add to the weather station recording. The group discussed if there would be any value in having more than one wind monitor.</p>	<p>MG to enquire about public access to the Hanson weather portal.</p> <p>MG to enquire about the addition of more wind monitors on site.</p>	<p>Next meeting</p> <p>Next meeting</p>
7.6	TG requested to have the daily weather, monitoring results and accompanying map uploaded onto the website. MG explained that the quarry is not required to monitor every day for the life of the quarry. The air monitoring is daily for background monitoring and will occur daily during intrusive works. The project approval then outlines weekly, monthly, bimonthly and annual monitoring requirements.	MG to enquire about uploading the daily weather, monitoring results and maps to the website.	Next meeting
7.7	MH concerned that Noel Arnold and Associates have a conflict of interest as they claimed there was no NOA on site and are now doing the monitoring as per the AMP. MG and SW raised doubt about whether this claim occurred. MG informed EGQCCC that the AMP was approved by the Director General.	Noted.	N/A
7.8	<p>Environmental Management Plans (EMPs) are being developed and will be approved by the Director General and other government bodies.</p> <p>MH would like the quarry to be hidden from public view so real estate price is not affected. MH requested not to have a Hanson sign facing the Mitchell Highway in order to hide the quarry. MG and SW explained that this was not possible as we have certain risk management issues to cover eg, emergency phone number, no</p>	Hanson to clarify position.	<p>Next meeting</p> <p><u>Actioned 6/9/2012</u> Hanson will erect a sign at the front for practical and risk management purposes. The exact size and placement will be approved by the</p>

	children on site etc.		Director General.
8.0	General Business		
8.1	<p>Community Enhancement Fund – Hanson committed to a once off \$25,000 donation to the Community Enhancement Fund. Suggestions for expenditure have included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Installation of wireless access points or upgrade of the phone lines; * Installation of a sign to highlight historically significant area including a link to the gold era; * Donation to Emu Swamp rural fire service, land care, catchment authority or the like; * Digital weather results; * Conservation and restoration of the local cemetery. <p>HN raised that from Hanson’s point of view they need to do something for the good of the community that will create a win-win situation. Local services suggestions such as the rural fire service or the cemetery would be recognised by the wider community and would have greater quantitative value for Hanson’s investment.</p> <p>Money has to be spent within 6 months within the date of the approval so an extension should be applied for.</p>	<p>MG to seek a 12 month extension to spend the funds.</p> <p>MG to collect ideas to be presented at the next meeting.</p>	<p>Next meeting</p> <p>Next meeting</p>
9.0	Next Meeting		
9.1	Suggested as Thu 8 November 2012	8/11/2012	Next meeting

MEETING CLOSED

6.30pm